

**ADDENDUM TO THE
FSOR 6-22-05
OBJECTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS/RESPONSES**

COMMENT NUMBER	SECTION AREA	COMMENTATOR/COMMENTS BOTH ORAL (O) & WRITTEN (W)	RESPONSE	REVISION NEEDED
W-3			Rejected. There was no evidence before the commission suggesting that tournament format events are unsafe. Other states permit such events and they do not appear to cause safety issues.	None
W-5			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency.	None.
W-7			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations, for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency. In addition, the commission has some concerns from testimony presented over fighter safety regarding the 10 minute first round format and believes such a change would require further study to ensure fighter safety is not compromised.	None.
W-8			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency. In addition, the commission has some concerns from testimony presented over fighter safety regarding the 10	None.

**ADDENDUM TO THE
FSOR 6-22-05
OBJECTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS/RESPONSES**

COMMENT NUMBER	SECTION AREA	COMMENTATOR/COMMENTS BOTH ORAL (O) & WRITTEN (W)	RESPONSE	REVISION NEEDED
			minute first round format and believes such a change would require further study to ensure fighter safety is not compromised.	
W-9				None.
W-10			No response necessary since commenter made no objection or recommendation.	None.
W-11			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency. In addition, the commission has some concerns from testimony presented over fighter safety regarding the fighter equipment and believes such a change would require further study to ensure fighter safety is not compromised.	None.
W-12			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency. In addition, the commission has some concerns from testimony presented over fighter safety regarding the fighter equipment and believes such a change	None.

**ADDENDUM TO THE
FSOR 6-22-05
OBJECTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS/RESPONSES**

COMMENT NUMBER	SECTION AREA	COMMENTATOR/COMMENTS BOTH ORAL (O) & WRITTEN (W)	RESPONSE	REVISION NEEDED
W-13			would require further study to ensure fighter safety is not compromised.	None.
W-14				None.
W-15			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency.	None.
W-20			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency.	None.
W-21			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency. In addition, this proposal is not consistent with fighter health and safety.	None.

**ADDENDUM TO THE
FSOR 6-22-05
OBJECTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS/RESPONSES**

COMMENT NUMBER	SECTION AREA	COMMENTATOR/COMMENTS BOTH ORAL (O) & WRITTEN (W)	RESPONSE	REVISION NEEDED
W-22			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency. In addition, this proposal is not consistent with fighter health and safety.	None.
W-23			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency.	None.
W-24			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency. In addition, this proposal is not consistent with fighter health and safety.	None.
W-26			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency. In addition, this proposal is not consistent with fighter health and safety.	None.

**ADDENDUM TO THE
FSOR 6-22-05
OBJECTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS/RESPONSES**

COMMENT NUMBER	SECTION AREA	COMMENTATOR/COMMENTS BOTH ORAL (O) & WRITTEN (W)	RESPONSE	REVISION NEEDED
W-27			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency. In addition, this proposal is not consistent with fighter health and safety.	None.
W-28			Rejected: Same as W-6	None.
W-29			Accepted.	Rule 522(c)(3) prohibits this technique.
W-30			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency.	None.
W-31			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency.	None.
W-32			Rejected: Same as W-6.	None.

**ADDENDUM TO THE
FSOR 6-22-05
OBJECTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS/RESPONSES**

COMMENT NUMBER	SECTION AREA	COMMENTATOR/COMMENTS BOTH ORAL (O) & WRITTEN (W)	RESPONSE	REVISION NEEDED
W-33			Rejected. The proposed rules are very similar to those used by other states. In fact, other states have been using California's original proposed regulations for approximately 5 years, they seem to be working well, and this would help provide some consistency.	None.
W-34			Same as W-3.	None.